CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: November 19, 2019

TIME: 10:52 AM

WSR 19-23-084

## **PROPOSED RULE MAKING**



## CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Department of Ecology AO #19-03

☑ Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR \_\_\_\_\_

□ Continuance of WSR \_

□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>19-04-091</u>; or

□ Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR \_\_\_\_\_; or

□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW \_\_\_\_\_

**Title of rule and other identifying information:** (describe subject) Chapter 173-501 WAC Instream Resources Protection Program - Nooksack Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 1. We are amending the WRIA 1 rule to meet the requirements in RCW 90.94.020. We are proposing to amend the rule to 1) add flexibility for projects that retime high flows; 2) establish domestic permit-exempt groundwater withdrawal limits for new users; and 3) make technical updates.

We have developed draft documentation to support rulemaking. The supporting documentation for the rule includes: the estimated consumptive use of new domestic permit-exempt wells in the WRIA for the next 20 years, projects and actions to offset potential impacts to instream flows associated with the permit-exempt domestic water use, and an evaluation for Net Ecological Benefit to meet the requirements of the law.

## For more information on this rulemaking visit: https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Laws-rulesrulemaking/Rulemaking/WAC-173-501

Hearing location(s):				
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:	
January 7, 2020	6:00 pm	Fairhaven Middle School Commons Area 110 Parkridge Rd Bellingham, WA 98225	Presentation, question and answer session followed by the hearing.	
January 8, 2020	3:00 pm	Lynden Middle School Commons Area 8750 Line Rd. Lynden, WA 98264	Presentation, question and answer session followed by the hearing.	
January 9, 2020	10:00 am	Department of Ecology Padilla Bay Reserve 10441 Bayview Edison Rd Mt Vernon, WA 98273	Presentation, question and answer session followed by the hearing.	
Date of intended a	doption: May	4, 2020 (Note: This is NOT the	effective date)	

## Submit written comments to:

Name: Annie Sawabini

Address: Send via US mail at: Department of Ecology Water Resources Program

PO Box 47600, Olympia, WA 98504-7600 (US mail).

Or,

Send parcel delivery services to: Department of Ecology Water Resources Program 300 Desmond Dr. SE, Lacey, WA 98503

Email: Submit comments by mail, online, or at the hearing(s).					
Other: Online: http://oth.ecology.commentinput.com/?id=fdG6m					
By (date) <u>January 17, 2020 at 11:59 pm</u>					
Assistance for persons with disabilities:					
Contact Ecology ADA Coordinator					
Phone: 360-407-6831					
TTY: People with speech disability may call TTY at 877-833-6341. People with impaired hearing may Service at 711.	y call washington Relay				
Email: ecyADAcoordinator@ecy.wa.gov					
Other: N/A					
By (date) December 30, 2019					
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:	RCW 90.94.020				
established requirements for a WRIA 1 watershed management plan update to be adopted by Ecolo					
The statute directs Ecology to begin rulemaking to meet the requirements of RCW 90.94.020 if a loc					
plan update is not adopted by Ecology by the deadline. A plan update was not submitted to Ecology	for review and adoption,				
so Ecology is working on the required rulemaking.					
We are using the rulemaking process and supporting analysis to meet the requirements of the law to	).				
1) Estimate 20 years of projected consumptive water use of new permit-exempt domestic withdrawa					
2) Develop a set of projects and actions that will offset the estimated consumptive water use and res					
Benefit in the WRIA; and	-				
3) Amend and add regulations necessary for implementing these projects and actions.					
Reasons supporting proposal: See answer to "Purpose of the proposal"					
Statutory authority for adoption: Chapter 90.94 RCW – Streamflow Restoration; Chapter 90.22 R					
Flows and Levels; Chapter 90.54 RCW – Water Resources Act of 1971; Chapter 90.03 RCW – Water Code; Chapter 90.44					
RCW – Regulation of Public Groundwaters; Chapter 43.27A RCW – Water Resources; Chapter 43.2 Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office – Pollution Control Hearings Board; RCW 43.21A.080					
Ecology; Chapter 18.104 RCW – Water Well Construction	- Department of				
Statute being implemented: Chapter 90.94 RCW – Streamflow Restoration; Chapter 90.22 RCW –	- Minimum Water Flows				
and Levels; Chapter 90.54 RCW - Water Resources Act of 1971; Chapter 90.03 RCW - Water Code	e; Chapter 90.44 RCW –				
Regulation of Public Groundwaters; Chapter 43.27A RCW – Water Resources; Chapter 43.21B RCV					
Land Use Hearings Office – Pollution Control Hearings Board; RCW 43.21A.080 – Department of Ec	cology; Chapter 18.104				
RCW – Water Well Construction					
Is rule necessary because of a:					
Federal Law?	□ Yes ⊠ No				
Federal Court Decision?	🗆 Yes 🖾 No				
State Court Decision?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
If yes, CITATION:					
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, ent matters: N/A	forcement, and fiscal				
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Ecology	Private				
	Public				
	Governmental				

Name of agency	Name of agency personnel responsible for:							
	Name	Office Location		Phone				
Drafting:	Annie Sawabini, Kasey Cykler	Lacey, Bellingha	m	360-407-6878, 360- 255-4386				
Implementation:	Kasey Cykler	Bellingham		360-255-4386				
Enforcement:	Ria Berns	Bellevue		425-649-7270				
Is a school distri	Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135?							
If yes, insert state	ment here:							
	y obtain a copy of the school dist	rict fiscal impact s	tatement by contacting:					
Name: N								
Address								
Fax: N/A	Phone: N/A							
TTY: N/								
Email: N	J/A							
Other: N	J/A							
Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under RCW	34.05.328?						
-	eliminary cost-benefit analysis ma	ay be obtained by	contacting:					
	Annie Sawabini	D						
	Department of Ecology, Water 360-407-6878	Resources Progr	am, PO Box 47600, Olympia, W	A 98504-7600				
Fille.								
	eople with speech disability may o	call TTY at 877-83	3-6341. People with impaired he	aring may call				
Washing	gton Relay Service at 711.			0,				
	nnie.sawabini@ecy.wa.gov							
Other: N								
	se explain:							
	ess Act Cost Considerations f		•					
	This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be exempt</b> from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
	osal, or portions of the proposal,							
	conform and/or comply with fede e is being adopted to conform or							
adopted.								
Citation and desc	•							
	osal, or portions of the proposal, 34.05.313 before filing the notice			pilot rule process				
	osal, or portions of the proposal,			(2) because it was				
adopted by a refe								
	osal, or portions of the proposal,	is exempt under F	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all tha	t apply:				
	V 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)					
	rnal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)					
	V 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)					
(Inco	prporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
	V 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)					
(Cor	rect or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearing	js; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to a	n agency for a license				
			or permit)					
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW <u>19.85.030</u> .								
	emptions, if necessary: While we nents, we did not identify any cos							
	l incur in order to comply with the							
rulemakings related to water resources, for example, compliance costs included the costs of metering and reporting. The								

proposed amendments would only add the conservation standa monitoring, reporting, or other additional compliance behavior. RFA, per RCW 19.85.030.					
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONI	LY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES				
If the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-n	ninor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?				
$\Box$ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing l	now costs were calculated.				
Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:					
The public may obtain a copy of the small business econ contacting:	omic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by				
Name: Address:					
Phone:					
Fax:					
	3-6341. People with impaired hearing may call Washington Relay sabilities, or printed materials in a format for the visually //ecology.wa.gov/accessibility.				
	ignature:				
Date: 11/19/19					
Name: Polly Zehm	Hother Johnal				
Title: Deputy Director	Polly Zehn				