

**Cite this document as:**

The Watershed Company. June 2015. Shoreline Restoration Plan for Shorelines in Whitman County, the Cities of Colfax, Palouse, Pullman and Tekoa and the Towns of Albion, Malden and Rosalia. Prepared for Whitman County.

**The Watershed Company Contact Persons:**  
Amy Summe/Clover Muters/Stephen Stanley

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	Page #
<b>1      Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1     Purpose .....	1
1.2     Restoration Plan Requirements .....	3
1.3     Types of Restoration Activities .....	4
1.4     Contents of this Restoration Plan.....	5
1.5     Utility of this Restoration Plan .....	5
<b>2      Shoreline Inventory and Analysis Report Summary .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1     Shoreline Jurisdiction.....	7
2.2     Existing Conditions Summary .....	8
2.2.1     County Shorelines .....	8
2.2.2     Cities and Towns .....	12
<b>3      Restoration Goals.....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1     County Wide .....	14
3.1.1     Comprehensive Plan and Critical Areas Regulations .....	14
3.1.2     County Conservation Districts.....	15
3.2     WRIA 34 .....	17
3.3     WRIA 35 .....	18
3.4     WRIA 56 .....	18
3.5     Pullman.....	19
3.6     Palouse.....	19
<b>4      Existing and Ongoing Plans and Programs.....</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1     Whitman County Comprehensive Plan.....	20
4.2     Whitman County Critical Areas Ordinance .....	21
4.3     Whitman County Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan.....	21
4.4     Port of Whitman County Comprehensive Plan 2010-2015 .....	21
4.5     Palouse Wind Compensatory Habitat Mitigation Plan Fund .....	21
4.6     Cities and Towns Comprehensive Plans and Critical Areas Regulations .....	22
4.6.1     City of Colfax .....	22
4.6.2     Town of Malden .....	22
4.6.3     City of Palouse.....	23
4.6.4     City of Pullman.....	23
4.6.5     City of Tekoa .....	24
4.7     Washington State Conservation Commission .....	24
4.7.1     Palouse Conservation District .....	24
4.7.2     Palouse Rock Lake Conservation District .....	25
4.7.3     Whitman Conservation District.....	26
4.7.4     Pine Creek Conservation District .....	26
4.8     Watershed Planning Units.....	26
4.8.1     WRIA 34- Palouse Watershed Planning Unit .....	27
4.8.2     WRIA 35- Middle Snake Watershed Planning Unit.....	27
4.8.3     WRIA 56- Hangman (Latah) Creek Watershed Planning Unit .....	27

4.9	Snake River Salmon Recovery Board .....	28
4.10	Hangman Creek TMDL .....	28
4.11	Palouse River TMDLs .....	28
4.11.1	Mainstem .....	28
4.11.2	North Fork.....	29
4.11.3	South Fork .....	30
4.12	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service .....	30
4.13	Natural Resources Conservation Service.....	30
4.14	Palouse-Clearwater Environmental Institute.....	30
4.15	Other Volunteer Organizations.....	31
<b>5</b>	<b>Identification of Restoration Opportunities .....</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1	County- and City-wide.....	32
5.2	City of Palouse.....	35
5.3	City of Pullman.....	36
5.4	Additional Projects and Programs to Achieve Local Restoration Goals .....	37
5.4.1	County .....	38
5.4.2	Albion .....	42
5.4.3	Colfax .....	42
5.4.4	Malden.....	43
5.4.5	Palouse.....	43
5.4.6	Pullman.....	45
5.4.7	Rosalia .....	45
5.4.8	Tekoa .....	45
<b>6</b>	<b>Strategies to Achieve Local Restoration Goals.....</b>	<b>46</b>
6.1	Partnerships and Tools for Implementing Restoration .....	47
6.1.1	Conservation Reserve and Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CRP & CREP) .....	47
6.1.2	Regional Conservation Partnership Program (NRCS).....	48
6.1.3	Farm Conservation Plans .....	48
6.1.4	Public Benefit Rating System (PBRS) and Current Use Taxation.....	49
6.1.5	Conservation Easement.....	49
6.1.6	Riparian Easements - DNR.....	50
6.1.7	Outright Purchase.....	50
6.2	Planning.....	54
6.3	Regional Coordination .....	55
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Website Resources.....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>58</b>

## **Appendix A: Map of Water Resource Inventory Areas and Conservation Districts**

# **LIST OF TABLES**

---

Table 1.	Characteristics of restoration versus mitigation.....	5
Table 2.	Restoration recommendations for Whitman County shorelines identified through past planning efforts. ....	32
Table 3.	A partial list of potential public and private funding sources for restoration and protection of shoreline ecological functions available to agencies or organizations .....	51

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

---

Figure 1.	Diagram of the role of restoration relative to achieving the SMP standard of “No net loss” of ecological functions. (Ecology 2010) .....	3
Figure 2.	Restoration Rankings for Mainstem of the Palouse River .....	34
Figure 3.	Restoration Ranking for South Fork of the Palouse River .....	35