Mentor Law Group

CC		Enf. Database Coord. Tel. 20 Rev/Rec - Cost Recovery	Washington 98121	
orig		File - Fax to ATG ATG Docket Clark	DEC 16 2005 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	Jennifer Symms 206 493 2305
nitial:	B	Jeff Killelea Plate 12/16/05	OFFICE OF DIRECTOR December 15, 2005	symms@mentorlaw.com

Judy Greear Clerk and Hearings Coordinator Pollution Control Hearings Board Environmental Hearings Office PO Box 40903 Lacey, WA 98504-0903 Via Federal Express Overnight



RE: Associated General Contractors of Washington & Building Industry Association of WAshington v State of Washington Department of Ecology PCHB No 05-

Dear Ms. Greear:

Please find enclosed the original Notice of Appeal for filing in the above-mentioned matter. This document was faxed to you December 15, 2005. Also enclosed is a copy to be conformed and returned to us in the envelope provided.

Sincerely,

MENTOR LAW GROUP, PLIC

JENNIVER SYMMS PARALEGAL

Enclosures

CC: Department of Ecology

Office of the Attorney General

Roland Dewhurst Tom McCabe Iodi Slavik

1	cc: 🖸 Enf. Database Coord.				
2	C Revirec	Cost Recovery			
3	orig: File - Fax to				
4	O Jeff !	Cillelea			
5	initial: Toxytake	12/16/05			
6	DOLLITANI CONTE	OL HEADBIGG DOADD			
7	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD				
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON				
9	ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS				
10	OF WASHINGTON and BUILDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF	No 05-			
11	WASHINGTON, Appellants,	NOTICE OF APPEAL			
12	, Tippertains,				
13	V				
14	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,				
15	DEFACTMENT OF ECOLOGY,				
16	Respondent				
17					
18	Associated General Contractors of Washington (AGC) and the Building Industry				
	Association of Washington (BIAW) hereby appeal the State of Washington Construction				
19	Stormwater General Permit, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and State Waste				
20	Discharge General Permit for Discharges Associated with Construction Activity, issued on				
21	November 16, 2005.				
22	I. Appeal	ing Party			
23	1.1 Appealing Parties				
24	Roland Dewhurst, Chief Executive (
25	Associated General Contractors of Washington 1200 Westlake Avenue N, Suite 310				
26	Seattle, WA 98109-3528				

1		Telephone: 206-284-0061 Fax: 206-285-4546			
2		Tom McCabe			
3		Executive Vice President Building Industry Association of Washington			
4	1	111 21 st Avenue SW			
5		Olympia, WA 98501 Telephone: 360-352-7800 Fax: 360-352-7801			
6					
7	1.2	Representation			
8	-	James A Tupper, Jr. Mentor Law Group, PLLC			
9		2025 First Avenue, Suite 1100			
10		Seattle, WA 98121 Telephone: 206-493-2300 Fax: 206-493-2310			
11		Jodi Slavik			
12	Of Counsel, WSBA No 25088				
13	Building Industry Association of Washington 111 21 st Avenue SW				
14		Olympia, WA 98501 Telephone: 360-352-7800 Fax: 360-352-7801			
15		•			
16	II. Identification of Parties				
17	2.1	Associated General Contractors of Washington and Building Industry Association			
18	of Washington, Appellants.				
19	2.2	State of Washington, Department of Ecology, Respondent			
20	Lite is that				
21		III. Copy of Permit			
22	3.1	See attached copy of the Construction Stormwater General Permit (CSGP) issued			
23	on November 16, 2005				
24	IV. Grounds for Appeal				
25	4.1	The CSGP authorizes discharges associated with construction activity As issued,			
26	the Permit exceeds the authority of the Department of Ecology, is inconsistent with applicable				

provisions in federal and state water quality laws and regulations, and imposes terms that are unreasonable and unattainable.

V. Statement of Facts

- AGC is a trade association representing contractors, businesses, and professional associated with the commercial construction industry. Many AGC contractors will be covered under and will be required to comply with the terms and conditions of the CSGP. AGC, on behalf of its members, was active in advisory committee meetings leading to the issuance of a draft CSGP and submitted comments on the draft permit.
- 5.2 AGC members are committed to the goals of the CSGP and play an important role in training members and member employees in best management practices to reduce and limit pollutant discharges from construction sites. AGC has also been a leader in providing training for the Certified Erosion Sedimentation Lead as recommended under the Western Washington Stormwater Management Manual and required for facility inspections under the new CSGP.
- BIAW represents over 11,000 member companies in the state of Washington engaged in the homebuilding industry. The CSGP directly affects BIAW members, especially those contractors who develop small sites less than five acres that are now covered under the CSGP BIAW and its local associations and member companies regularly provide erosion training courses in an effort to comply with state and local stormwater regulations. BIAW has been active in the development of the CSGP and submitted comments on the draft permit.
- 5.4 The CSGP includes conditions that are unreasonable, arbitrary and capricious, and exceed the authority of the Department of Ecology. These conditions include but are not limited to the following:
- 5.5 Special Condition S4 C and Table 3 unreasonably require the use of turbidity meters over transparency tubes as a means of measuring turbidity.
- 5.6 Special Condition S4 C requires weekly water quality samples when there is a discharge from a construction site. Sampling at a frequency of once a week is not reasonably necessary to determine whether best management practices are installed and functioning.

- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans within seven days of certain inspections and sampling events and further require that source control and treatment best management practices be fully implemented within 10 days. These requirements are unreasonable and inconsistent with applicable law because (1) the terms of the conditions are vague and conflict with other relevant provisions in the permit; (2) the conditions impose unreasonable and potentially unattainable timelines; and (3) the conditions subject the permit holder to excessive liability. It is not reasonably possible for a covered discharger to know whether they are in compliance with these conditions in the CSGP
- 5.8 Special Condition S4 sets forth a benchmark for turbidity of 25 NTU that is unreasonable and excessive to determine compliance with applicable water quality standards.
- 5.9 The 25 NTU benchmark for turbidity, when combined with other permit requirements, constitutes a de facto numeric effluent limitation in violation of RCW 90.48.555.
- 5.10 Special Condition S8 requires receiving water sampling for discharges to certain water body segments listed pursuant to section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. The requirement for receiving water sampling violates RCW 90 48 555 that prohibits the Department of Ecology from requiring such sampling unless it can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of persons conducting the sampling.
- 5 11 Special Condition S8 sets numeric effluent limitations for discharges to certain 303(d) listed water bodies in violation of RCW 90.48 555 where the Department of Ecology has not completed a Total Maximum Daily Load analysis or assigned waste load allocations for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities.
- 5.12 Special Condition S8 sets numeric effluent limitations for certain discharges to 303(d) listed water bodies in violation of RCW 90.48 555 by failing to consider existing controls on point and nonpoint sources of pollution, the variability of the pollutant, or pollutant parameter in stormwater and, as appropriate, the dilution of stormwater in receiving waters.