

460 Stakeholder Meeting Agenda

Feb. 21, 2019

1 to 3 pm

Webinar registration

Agenda

Review outstanding questions

- Recalculate the de minimis emission value (see pg 10 in Recommendations document)

We mistakenly recommended a de minimis emission value equal to SQER/10 in the Feb. 14, 2019 Recommendations memorandum. Rather, it is our intent to discuss options for determining de minimis values.

De minimis options

- SQER/20. “De minimis values are set at 1/20 of the small quantity emission rates. SQER. This is the same concept that was applied to the de minimis values for criteria pollutants in WAC 173-400-110(5). In the 400 rule, the de minimis is set at 1/20th of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration Significant Emission Rates. Both de minimis rates are appropriate regulatory vehicles.”¹
 - SQER = de minimis was discussed at the 1-23-2019 stakeholder meeting.
 - SQER/10. What reason would support dividing the SQER by another value? “Because we think that is appropriate” is insufficient.
- Toxicity equivalence (see pg 6 in Recommendations document & associated discussion memo)
 - Chemicals left-off the 2009 TAP list
Acetone, malathion, kerosene based fuels (kerosene, fuel oil no. 2, JP²-7, JP-4 (see pgs 3 & 4 in the Recommendations document)

The current TAP list omits these chemicals that would have been included if we had followed our process for listing a chemical. We have been unable to find documentation in the 2009 rulemaking file on this decision. JP-5 and JP-8 subsequently added by one of our data sources so they are included in the list. We are asking for comments related to listing these chemicals.

Review request to remove ammonium sulfate as a TAP

Review ASIL table

Review recommendations

We will discuss the basis for the SQER parameters at our next meeting on March 11.

¹ “Preliminary Cost Benefit and Least Burden Analyses,” November 2008. Publication # 08-02-033. Page 68.

² JP means jet propellant.