PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (August 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 03, 2017

TIME: 11:49 AM

WSR 17-20-081

Agency: Department of Ecology AO# 16-14								
☐ Original Notice								
☐ Supplemental Not	ice to WSR							
⊠ Continuance of WSR <u>17-19-076</u>								
	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 17-06-066	; or					
☐ Expedited Rule Ma	akingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR	; or					
☐ Proposal is exemp	ot under RC	W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).						
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Chapter 173-03 WAC	Public Reco	rds. The purpose of this chapter is	The Department of Ecology is proposing amendments to to implement the requirements of the Public Records supdate will modernize the rule to reflect current law,					
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:					
10/24/17	1:00 p.m.	In-person in Lacey and Webinar Lacey Department of Ecology 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, WA 98503	The public hearing for this rulemaking is on the same day. Below is updated information for joining the webinar. Webinar Ecology is offering this hearing via webinar and in person. Webinars are online meeting forums that you can attend from any computer using internet access. Updated: To join the webinar and get more information and instructions: https://watech.webex.com/watech/j.php?MTID=mc47a99b8a3e1f9e97d4bbb46581ab146 For audio only call US Toll +1-240-454-0887 Access code: 808 166 411. Or to receive a free call back, provide your phone number why you join the event.)					
			Comments: Ecology will accept comments at the					
Date of intended ado	 ption: 11/08	<u> </u> <u>8/17</u> (Note: This is NOT the effect i	Lacey location and through the webinar.					
Submit written comn	_	(1100) 1110 10 110 1 110 GIIGG	in a data)					
Name:								
Address:								
Email:								
Fax:								
Other:								

By (date)					
Assistance for persons with disabilities:					
Contact					
Phone:					
Fax:					
TTY:					
Email:					
Other:					
By (date)					
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipate	d effects, including any changes in existing	rules:			
Reasons supporting proposal:					
Statutory authority for adoption:					
Statute being implemented:					
Statute being implemented.					
Is rule necessary because of a:					
Federal Law?		☐ Yes ☐ No			
Federal Court Decision?		□ Yes □ No			
State Court Decision?		□ Yes □ No			
If yes, CITATION:					
Agency comments or recommendations, it matters:	f any, as to statutory language, implementat	ion, enforcement, and fiscal			
Name of proponent: (person or organization)	□ Private			
rame of proponent (person of organization	,	□ Public			
		☐ Governmental			
Name of agency personnel responsible for	•				
		5 1			
Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting:					
Implementation:					
Enforcement:					
Is a school district fiscal impact statement	required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes □ No			
If yes, insert statement here:					
The public may obtain a copy of the school Name: Address: Phone: Fax:	ol district fiscal impact statement by contacting:				

TTY:					
Email:					
Other:					
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?					
☐ Yes: A preliminary	y cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:				
Name:					
Address:					
Phone:					
Fax:					
TTY:					
Email:					
Other:					
☐ No: Please expla	ain:				

Regulator	y Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sm	all Busir	ness Economic Impact Statement:			
	roposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exc .85 RCW). Please check the box for any applica		n requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see aption(s):			
adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation an □ This rul	olely to conform and/or comply with federal statu this rule is being adopted to conform or comply d description:	ite or regineration or regineration of the cause of the c	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being ulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not see the agency has completed the pilot rule process rule.			
		pt under	the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	a referendum.	. (DOW 40.05.005(0). Obesit all that are it			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	_				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees) RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
	(Correct of Clarify language)		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
☐ This rul	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	pt under	RCW .			
	n of exemptions, if necessary:					
If the propo			F NO EXEMPTION APPLIES r costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
□ No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis show	ving how	costs were calculated			
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						
cont	acting:	economi	c impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
	lame:					
	ddress: Phone:					
	rax:					
	TY:					
	mail:					
C	Other:					
Date: 10/3	/2017	Signa	\wedge			
Name: Polly Zehm by Darin Rice			Pharman			
Title: Deputy Director			16/1/2 Zehn by Dai Ria			