

|

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 16-16-095, filed 8/1/16, effective 9/1/16)

WAC 173-201A-420 Variance. (1) **General provisions.** Variances for individual facilities, a group of facilities, or stretches of waters may be issued for the criteria and designated uses established in WAC 173-201A-200 through 173-201A-260 and 173-201A-600 through 173-201A-612. The following conditions apply when considering issuance of a variance:

(a) A variance may be considered when the standards are expected to be attained by the end of the variance period or the attainable use cannot be reliably determined.

(b) The variance applies to specific parameters and all other applicable standards remain in effect for the water body.

(c) The modification must be consistent with the requirements of federal regulations (currently 40 C.F.R. 131.14).

(d) Reasonable progress must be made toward meeting the underlying standards during the variance period.

(e) A variance renewal may be considered if the renewal request meets the above conditions.

(2) **Types of variances.** Upon request or on its own initiative, the department will consider granting the following types of variances to existing water quality standards:

(a) An individual variance is a time-limited designated use and parameter-specific change to the standard(s) of the receiving water body for a specific discharger. The temporary standard(s) only apply at the point(s) of compliance for the individual facility.

(b) A multidischarger variance is a time-limited designated use and parameter-specific change to the standard(s) of any water body that receives discharges from a permitted facility defined within the scope of the multidischarger variance. Any permitted discharger that is defined within the scope of the variance may be covered under the variance that is granted by the department, provided all requirements of the variance for that discharger are met.

(c) A water body variance is a time-limited designated use and parameter-specific change to the standard(s) for a stretch of waters. Any discharger of the specific parameter that is defined within the geographic scope of the water body variance may be covered under the variance that is granted by the department, provided all requirements of the variance for that discharger are met.

(3) **Requirements.** Any entity initiating a variance request or applying for coverage for an individual, multidischarger, or water body variance must submit the following information to the department:

(a) The pollutant-specific criteria and designated use(s) proposed to be modified by the variance, and the proposed duration of the variance.

(b) A demonstration that attaining the water quality standard for a specific pollutant is not feasible for the requested duration of the variance based on 40 C.F.R. 131.14.

(c) An evaluation of treatment or alternative actions that were considered to meet effluent limits based on the underlying water quality criteria, and a description of why these options are not technically, economically, or otherwise feasible.

(d) Sufficient water quality data and analyses to characterize receiving and discharge water pollutant concentrations.

(e) A description and schedule of actions that the discharger(s) proposes to ensure the underlying water quality standard(s) are met or the highest attainable use is attained within the variance period. Dischargers are also required to submit a schedule for development and implementation of a pollutant minimization plan for the subject pollutant(s).

(f) If the variance is for a water body or stretch of water, the following information must also be provided to the department:

(i) The results from a pollutant source assessment that quantifies the contribution of pollution from permitted sources and nonpermitted sources;

(ii) All cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for permitted sources that address the pollutant the variance is based upon; and

(iii) Best management practices for nonpermitted sources that meet the requirements of chapter 90.48 RCW.

(g) Any additional information the department deems necessary to evaluate the application.

(4) **Public review and notification.** The decision to grant a variance is a formal rule making subject to a public and intergovernmental involvement process.

(a) The department will provide notice of the proposed variance and consult with Indian tribes or other states that have jurisdiction over adjacent and downstream waters of the proposed variance.

(b) The department shall maintain and make publicly available a list of dischargers that are covered under the variances that are in effect.

(5) **Period during which the variance is in effect.** A variance is a time-limited designated use and criterion.

(a) Each variance will be granted for the minimum time estimated to meet the underlying standard(s) or, if during the period of the variance it is determined that a designated use cannot be attained, then a use attainability analysis (WAC 173-201A-440) will be initiated.

(b) The ability to apply a variance in permits or other actions may be terminated by the department as a result of a mandatory interim review.

(c) Variances are in effect after they have been incorporated into this chapter and approved by the USEPA.

(6) **Contents of a variance.** At a minimum a variance adopted into rule will include the following:

(a) The time period for which the variance is applicable.

(b) The geographic area or specific waters in which the variance is applicable.

(c) A description of the permitted and unpermitted dischargers covered by the variance.

(d) (~~Identification of~~) A pollutant minimization plan identifying required actions and a schedule, including any measurable

milestones, for all pollution sources (permitted and unpermitted) subject to the variance. Dischargers are required to use adaptive management to fine-tune and update actions, schedules, and milestones in order to achieve the goals of the variance.

(e) A provision allowing the department to reopen and modify any permits and to revise BMP requirements for unpermitted dischargers as a result of the mandatory interim review of the variance (see subsection (8) of this section).

(7) **Variance permit conditions.** The department must establish and incorporate into NPDES permits all conditions necessary to implement and enforce an approved variance, including:

(a) Effluent limits that represent currently achieved or achievable effluent conditions, or effluent limits that are sufficient to meet the underlying water quality standard upon expiration of the variance;

(b) Monitoring and reporting requirements; and

(c) A provision allowing the department to reopen and modify the permits based on the mandatory interim review of the variance.

(8) **Mandatory interim review.** The department will conduct an interim review of each variance at least once every five years after the variance is adopted into this chapter and approved (~~to determine~~

|

~~that~~) by the USEPA. The interim review will evaluate whether the
conditions of the variance are being met and ~~((to evaluate))~~ whether
the variance is still necessary. The department will provide public
notice of the interim review results and opportunity for public
comment.

(a) Review process for individual discharger and multidischarger
variances:

(i) The interim review shall be coordinated with the public
review process of the permit renewal if the variance is being
implemented in a permit. The public comment period for the variance
interim review will occur prior to or coincide with the review period
for the permit renewal.

(ii) The interim review will be focused on the discharger's
compliance with permit conditions that are required by the variance as
well as an evaluation of whether the variance is still necessary.

(iii) The interim review will include an evaluation of the
highest attainable condition. The resulting highest attainable
condition must be either the condition at the time of adoption or a
more stringent condition identified during the interim review.

(b) Review process for water body variances:

~~((i))~~ Variances for stretches of waters will be reviewed ~~((in a public process conducted by the department every five years after the variance is adopted into this chapter and approved by the USEPA.~~

~~((ii) The review will))~~ to evaluate whether the variance is still necessary, any new information on sources of the pollutant that indicates that reductions could be made that would allow water quality standards to be met in a shorter time frame, ~~((as well as any))~~ and new information that indicates water quality improvements may require more time.

(c) A variance that applies to a permit will be shortened or terminated if the review determines that:

(i) The conditions and requirements of the variance and associated permit requirements have not been complied with unless reasons outside the control of the discharger prevented meeting any condition or requirement; or

(ii) Water quality standards could be met in a shorter time frame, based on new information submitted to the department.

(9) **Approved variances in effect for Washington.** Variances to the existing water quality standards that meet the requirements of WAC 173-201A-420 (1) through (8) are described in WAC 173-201A-620.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 90.48.035, 90.48.605 and section 303(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act), C.F.R. 40, C.F.R. 131. WSR 16-16-095 (Order 12-03), § 173-201A-420, filed 8/1/16, effective 9/1/16. Statutory Authority: RCW 90.48.035. WSR 11-09-090 (Order 10-10), § 173-201A-420, filed 4/20/11, effective 5/21/11. Statutory Authority: Chapters 90.48 and 90.54 RCW. WSR 03-14-129 (Order 02-14), § 173-201A-420, filed 7/1/03, effective 8/1/03.]

NEW SECTION

WAC 173-201A-620 Variances for Washington waters. Variances for the criteria and designated uses in WAC 173-201A-200 through 173-201A-260 and 173-201A-600 through 173-201A-612 may be established according to WAC 173-201A-420. The following variances for individual facilities, groups of facilities, and stretches of waters have been approved by the department.

[]

NEW SECTION

WAC 173-201A-622 Table 622—Approved individual discharger variances in effect for Washington waters. (1) Table 622 lists

individual discharger variances that have been adopted by the department and will be used for permit purposes when approved by the USEPA. Variances with time periods longer than five years will be applied to permits if the mandatory interim review determines that the conditions of the variance are being met and the variance is still necessary.

Table 622 - Approved Individual Discharger Variances in Effect for Washington Waters

| Name of permittee | Parameter | Waterbody at point of discharge | Time-limited designated uses | Federal factor(s) used to issue the Variance 40 C.F.R. 131.10(g) | Duration of the Variance upon EPA approval | Highest attainable condition (HAC) 40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A) | |
|--|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | Quantifiable expression ² | Pollutant minimization plan (PMP) |
| City of Spokane - Riverside Park Water Reclamation Facility | PCB | Spokane River (47.69357/ - 117.47247) | Limited fish harvest and limited water supply at the point of discharge | Factor 3 ¹ | 20 years | HAC #3 (40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A)(3)); Minimum percent removal efficiency of 95% | See Table (2)(b)(i). For full details go to Ecology Publication 20-10-020 |
| Spokane County Regional Water Reclamation Facility | PCB | Spokane River (47.67813/ - 117.36284) | Limited fish harvest and limited water supply at the point of discharge | Factor 3 ¹ | 20 years | HAC #3 (40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A)(3)); Minimum percent removal efficiency of 97.6% | See Table (2)(b)(ii). For full details go to Ecology Publication 20-10-020 |
| Kaiser Aluminum Washington, LLC - Trentwood Works | PCB | Spokane River (47.68604/ - 117.22379) | Limited fish harvest and limited water supply at the point of discharge | Factor 3 ¹ | 10 years | HAC #2 (40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A)(2)); Minimum percent removal efficiency of 85% | See Table (2)(b)(iii). For full details go to Ecology Publication 20-10-020 |
| Inland Empire Paper Company | PCB | Spokane River (47.68911/ - 117.27923) | Limited fish harvest and limited water supply at the point of discharge | Factor 3 ¹ | 20 years | HAC #3 (40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A)(3)); Minimum percent removal efficiency of 85.7% | See Table (2)(b)(iv). For full details go to Ecology Publication 20-10-020 |
| Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District - Water Reclamation Facility | PCB | Spokane River (47.67808/ - 117.11782) | Limited fish harvest and limited water supply at the point of discharge | Factor 3 ¹ | 20 years | HAC #3 (40 C.F.R. 131.14(b)(1)(ii)(A)(3)); Minimum percent removal efficiency of 97.0% | See Table (2)(b)(v). For full details go to Ecology Publication 20-10-020 |

¹Human health criterion for PCBs cannot be attained for the fish harvest use in segments of the Spokane River. Human caused conditions or sources of PCBs prevent the attainment of the fish harvest use.

²The highest attainable condition (HAC) percent removal efficiency is calculated as [(influent – effluent) / influent] x 100

(2) Pollutant minimization plans for Spokane River PCB variances.

|

(a) **State pollutant minimization plan.** The following state actions for PCBs are included in addition to the individual discharger variance pollutant minimization plans listed in (b)(i) through (v) of this subsection. Additional details on these state actions can be found within Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

(i) Implement the following federal programs that address PCBs: Federal NPDES program, which regulates dischargers and stormwater pollution, Clean Water Act pretreatment program, clean air permits and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which regulates hazardous waste management.

(ii) Implement the state Model Toxics Control Act, chapter 70.105D RCW.

(iii) Implement the state Model Toxic Pollution Act, chapter 70.365 RCW.

(iv) Implement the *PCB Chemical Action Plan* (Ecology Publication 15-07-002), developed under chapter 173-333 WAC, Persistent bioaccumulative toxins.

(v) Implement department of enterprise services purchasing policy DES-280-00 for preferences for product packaging that does not contain PCBs.

(vi) Continue to support efforts of the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) to find and reduce PCBs in the Spokane River.

(vii) Implement existing total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) nonpoint pollution control strategies designed to identify sources of pollution and implement suites of best management practices (BMPs) to address those sources of pollution in the Spokane River and its tributaries, including Hangman Creek and the Little Spokane River.

(b) Actions for individual discharger Spokane River PCB variances.

(i) **City of Spokane - Riverside park water reclamation facility (City of Spokane).** PCB treatment technology is in the process of being installed at the time of the approved variance. The greatest level achievable for PCBs will be measured as a percent removal efficiency based on ~~after~~ the completion and optimization of treatment technology. Percent removal efficiency is calculated as the influent PCB concentration subtracted from the effluent concentration divided by the influent concentration. See City of Spokane Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP) Table 622(2)(b)(i). Additional details on the listed actions in the pollutant minimization plan and a schedule for actions are described in Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

Table 622(2)(b)(i) - City of Spokane Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP)

| Objective | Action |
|---|--|
| PMP Organization | Identify cross functional team responsible for developing and implementing PMP |
| | Identify procedures and methods for PMP effectiveness tracking |
| | Submit proposed schedule for performing and completing PMP actions |
| Source Investigation and Identification | Submit a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for PCB sampling |
| | Conduct influent and effluent sampling and calculate loading of PCBs entering and exiting the treatment facility for evaluation of HAC |
| | Characterize PCBs in waste streams, solids, products, and other sources |
| | Evaluate infiltration and inflow (I/I) to collection systems |
| | Administer industrial pretreatment programs by working with facilities to identify and reduce or eliminate sources of influent loading of PCBs |
| Mitigation or Reduction of Sources | Serve on ecology and other committees for addressing PCBs in commerce |
| | Implement measures to optimize operation and maintenance to reduce PCBs discharged in final effluent |
| | Reduce contributions of PCBs to the final effluent from raw materials, chemicals, and additives used at facility |
| | Conduct periodic review of procurement policies to promote purchase of products that reduce new PCBs to the environment |
| | Evaluate and optimize the solids dewatering and storage processes |
| | Conduct periodic literature review to identify emerging treatment technologies |
| | Submit a scope of work for conducting bench scale/pilot studies on PCB technologies, as identified in literature reviews |
| | Conduct bench scale/pilot studies on emerging PCB treatment technologies, as appropriate |
| | Conduct periodic review of alternative actions and implement feasible actions to reduce PCB loading to the environment |
| Regional Coordination | Work collaboratively to implement the comprehensive plan and incorporate adaptive management to identify and reduce sources of PCBs through active participation in the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) |
| | Identify and collect additional information to assist ecology in preparing measurable progress towards achieving applicable water quality standards for PCBs and the effectiveness of the variance |
| | Work collaboratively through the SRRTTF to collect and analyze in-river water samples for PCBs using EPA Method 1668 (as revised), as needed, to evaluate progress toward achieving the PCB water quality standard. Alternatively, each individual discharger will collect in-river samples within 300 feet downstream of their outfall to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. In-river PCB concentrations shall be submitted in an annual report by January 30 |
| | Investigate Technical, Legal and Policy Solutions through the federal Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) |
| | Hold workshops to address various PCB issues, such as analytical techniques, Spokane River ambient monitoring data, and TSCA reform |
| | Educate the Spokane community on PCBs in the Spokane River and reducing sources of PCBs |
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |
| Reporting and Adaptive Management | Prepare and submit annual report that documents pollutant minimization efforts and progress |
| | Report influent/effluent PCB testing data for evaluation with HAC (using EPA Method 1668, as revised) |
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |

| Objective | Action |
|-----------|---|
| | Evaluate and update schedule of PMP actions |
| | Evaluate and update PMP based on source tracking and PMP effectiveness monitoring |
| | Prepare and submit PMP implementation review prior to each mandatory interim review of the variance |

(ii) **Spokane county regional water reclamation facility (Spokane County)**. No additional feasible PCB treatment technology exists at the time of the approved variance. The greatest level for PCBs will be measured as a percent removal efficiency based on the optimization of the current treatment technology. Percent removal efficiency is calculated as the influent PCB concentration subtracted from the effluent concentration divided by the influent concentration. See Spokane County Pollutant Minimization Plan Table 622(2)(b)(ii). Additional details on the listed actions in the pollutant minimization plan and a schedule for actions are described in Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

Table 622(2)(b)(ii) - Spokane County Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP)

| Objective | Action |
|---|--|
| PMP Organization | Identify cross functional team responsible for developing and implementing PMP |
| | Identify procedures and methods for PMP effectiveness tracking |
| | Submit proposed schedule for performing and completing PMP actions |
| Source Investigation and Identification | Submit a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for PCB sampling |
| | Conduct influent and effluent sampling and calculate loading of PCBs entering and exiting the treatment facility for evaluation of HAC |
| | Characterize PCBs in waste streams, solids, products, and other sources |
| | Evaluate infiltration and inflow (I/I) to collection systems |
| | Administer industrial pretreatment programs by working with facilities to identify and reduce or eliminate sources of influent loading of PCBs |
| Mitigation or Reduction of Sources | Serve on ecology and other committees for addressing PCBs in commerce |
| | Implement measures to optimize operation and maintenance to reduce PCBs discharged in final effluent |

| Objective | Action |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Reduce contributions of PCBs to the final effluent from raw materials, chemicals, and additives used at facility |
| | Conduct periodic review of procurement policies to promote purchase of products that reduce new PCBs to the environment |
| | Evaluate and optimize the solids dewatering and storage processes |
| | Conduct periodic literature review to identify emerging treatment technologies |
| | Submit a scope of work for conducting bench scale/pilot studies on PCB technologies, as identified in literature reviews |
| | Conduct bench scale/pilot studies on emerging PCB treatment, as appropriate |
| | Conduct periodic review of alternative actions and implement feasible actions to reduce PCB loading to the environment |
| Regional Coordination | Work collaboratively to implement the comprehensive plan and incorporate adaptive management to identify and reduce sources of PCBs through active participation in the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) |
| | Identify and collect additional information to assist ecology in evaluating measurable progress towards applicable water quality standards for PCBs and the effectiveness of the variance |
| | Work collaboratively through the SRRTTF to collect and analyze in-river water samples for PCBs using EPA Method 1668 (as revised), as needed, to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. Alternatively, each individual discharger will collect in-river samples within 300 feet downstream of their outfall to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. In-river PCB concentrations shall be submitted in an annual report by January 30 |
| | Investigate Technical, Legal and Policy Solutions through the federal Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) |
| | Hold workshops to address various PCB issues, such as analytical techniques, Spokane River ambient monitoring data, and TSCA reform |
| | Educate the Spokane community on PCBs in the Spokane River and reducing sources of PCBs |
| | |
| Reporting and Adaptive Management | Prepare and submit annual report that documents pollutant minimization efforts and progress |
| | Report influent/effluent PCB testing data for evaluation with HAC (using EPA Method 1668, as revised) |
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |
| | Evaluate and update schedule of PMP actions |
| | Evaluate and update PMP based on effectiveness tracking |
| | Prepare and submit PMP implementation review prior to each mandatory interim review of the variance |

(iii) **Kaiser Aluminum Washington, LLC - Trentwood Works (Kaiser).**

Additional feasible PCB treatment technologies will be identified during the variance. The greatest level achievable for PCBs will be measured as a percent removal efficiency completion of the installation and optimization of treatment technology. Percent removal

efficiency is calculated as the influent PCB concentration subtracted from the effluent concentration divided by the influent concentration.

See Kaiser Pollutant Minimization Plan Table 622(2)(b)(iii).

Additional details on the listed actions in the pollutant minimization plan and a schedule for actions are described in Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

Table 622(2)(b)(iii) - Kaiser Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP)

| Objective | Action |
|--|---|
| PMP Organization | Identify cross functional team responsible for developing and implementing PMP |
| | Identify procedures and methods for PMP effectiveness tracking |
| | Submit proposed schedule for performing and completing PMP actions |
| Source Investigation and Identification | Submit a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for PCB sampling |
| | Conduct influent and effluent sampling and calculate loading of PCBs entering and exiting the treatment facility for evaluation of HAC |
| | Characterize PCBs in waste streams, solids, products, and other sources |
| | Identify and reduce or eliminate PCBs within the industrial sewer system by cleaning out the north sewer |
| Mitigation or Reduction of Sources | Serve on ecology and other committees for addressing PCBs in commerce |
| | Implement measures to optimize operation and maintenance to reduce PCBs discharged in final effluent |
| | Reduce contributions of PCBs to the final effluent from raw materials, chemicals, and additives used at facility |
| | Conduct periodic review of procurement policies to promote purchase of products that reduce new PCBs to the environment |
| | Refurbish PCB containing electrical equipment |
| | Conduct leak detection and prevention activities for electrical equipment |
| | Develop site specific best management practices (BMP) plan to minimize contributions during site demolition and remodeling |
| | Evaluate and optimize the solids dewatering and storage processes |
| | Implement flow reduction projects |
| | Identify and evaluate treatment technologies |
| | Evaluate and install emerging PCB treatment technologies by conducting bench-scale/pilot studies, as appropriate |
| | Submit final engineering design documents for selected treatment technology |
| Install and optimize selected treatment technology | |
| Regional Coordination | Work collaboratively to implement the comprehensive plan and incorporate adaptive management to identify and reduce sources of PCBs through active participation in the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) |

| Objective | Action |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Identify and collect additional information to assist ecology in preparing measurable progress towards achieving applicable water quality standards for PCBs and the effectiveness of the variance |
| | Work collaboratively through the SRRTTF to collect and analyze in-river water samples for PCBs using EPA Method 1668 (as revised), as needed, to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. Alternatively, each individual discharger will collect in-river samples within 300 feet downstream of their outfall to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. In-river PCB concentrations shall be submitted in an annual report by January 30 |
| | Investigate Technical, Legal and Policy Solutions through the federal Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) |
| | Hold workshops to address various PCB issues, such as analytical techniques, Spokane River ambient monitoring data, and TSCA reform |
| | Educate the Spokane community on PCBs in the Spokane River and reducing sources of PCBs |
| Reporting and Adaptive Management | Prepare and submit annual report that documents pollutant minimization efforts and progress |
| | Report influent/effluent PCB testing data for evaluation with HAC (using EPA Method 1668, as revised) |
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |
| | Evaluate and update schedule of PMP actions |
| | Evaluate and update PMP based on effectiveness tracking |
| | Prepare and submit PMP implementation review prior to each mandatory interim review of the variance |

(iv) **Inland Empire Paper Company (Inland Empire)**. PCB treatment technology is in the process of being installed at the time of the approved variance. The greatest level achievable for PCBs will be measured as a percent removal efficiency and is based on the completion of the installation and optimization of treatment technology. Percent removal efficiency is calculated as the influent PCB concentration subtracted from the effluent concentration divided by the influent concentration. See Inland Empire Pollutant Minimization Plan Table 622(2)(b)(iv). Additional details on the listed actions in the pollutant minimization plan and a schedule for actions are described in Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

Table 622(2)(b)(iv) - Inland Empire Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP)

| Objective | Action |
|---|--|
| PMP Organization | Identify cross functional team responsible for developing and implementing PMP |
| | Identify procedures and methods for PMP effectiveness tracking |
| | Submit proposed schedule for performing and completing PMP actions |
| Source Investigation and Identification | Submit a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for PCB sampling |
| | Conduct influent and effluent sampling and calculate loading of PCBs entering and exiting the treatment facility for evaluation of HAC |
| | Characterize PCBs in waste streams, solids, products, and other sources |
| Mitigation or Reduction of Sources | Serve on ecology and other committees for addressing PCBs in commerce |
| | Implement measures to optimize operation and maintenance to reduce PCBs discharged in final effluent |
| | Reduce contributions of PCBs to the final effluent from raw materials, chemicals, and additives used at facility |
| | Continue work with manufacturers associations to reduce or eliminate PCBs used in newsprint/packaging in inks and dyes |
| | Continue work with EPA for revision of allowable PCB levels in products under federal Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) |
| | Continue to present concerns with the PCB allowances in TSCA to both in-state and out-of-state groups |
| | Develop site specific best management practices (BMP) plan to minimize contributions during site demolition and remodeling |
| | Conduct periodic review of procurement policies to promote purchase of products that reduce new PCBs to the environment |
| | Evaluate and optimize the solids dewatering and storage processes |
| | Conduct periodic literature review to identify emerging treatment technologies, as appropriate |
| | Submit a scope of work for conducting bench scale/pilot studies on PCB technologies, as identified in literature reviews |
| | Conduct bench scale/pilot studies on emerging PCB treatment technologies |
| Regional Coordination | Work collaboratively to implement the comprehensive plan and incorporate adaptive management to identify and reduce sources of PCBs through active participation in the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) |
| | Identify and collect additional information to assist ecology in preparing measurable progress towards achieving applicable water quality standards for PCBs and the effectiveness of the variance |
| | Work collaboratively through the SRRTTF to collect and analyze in-river water samples for PCBs using EPA Method 1668 (as revised), as needed, to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. Alternatively, each individual discharger will collect in-river samples within 300 feet downstream of their outfall to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. In-river PCB concentrations shall be submitted in an annual report by January 30 |
| | Investigate Technical, Legal and Policy Solutions through TSCA |
| | Hold workshops to address various PCB issues, such as analytical techniques, Spokane River ambient monitoring data, and TSCA reform |
| | Educate the Spokane community on PCBs in the Spokane River and reducing sources of PCBs |
| Reporting and Adaptive Management | Prepare and submit annual report that documents pollutant minimization efforts and progress |
| | Report influent/effluent PCB testing data for evaluation with HAC (using EPA Method 1668, as revised) |

| Objective | Action |
|-----------|---|
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |
| | Evaluate and update schedule of PMP actions |
| | Evaluate and update PMP based on effectiveness tracking |
| | Prepare and submit PMP implementation review prior to each mandatory interim review of the variance |

(v) **Liberty Lake sewer and water district - Water reclamation facility (Liberty Lake)**. No additional feasible PCB treatment technology exists at the time of the approved variance. The greatest level achievable for PCBs will be measured as a percent removal efficiency. Percent removal efficiency is calculated as the influent PCB concentration subtracted from the effluent concentration divided by the influent concentration. See Liberty Lake Pollutant Minimization Plan Table 622(2)(b)(v). Additional details on the listed actions in the pollutant minimization plan and a schedule for actions are described in Ecology Publication 20-10-020.

Table 622(2)(b)(v) - Liberty Lake Pollutant Minimization Plan (PMP)

| Objective | Action |
|---|--|
| PMP Organization | Identify cross functional team responsible for developing and implementing PMP |
| | Identify procedures and methods for PMP effectiveness tracking |
| | Submit proposed schedule for performing and completing PMP actions |
| Source Investigation and Identification | Submit a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for PCB sampling |
| | Conduct influent and effluent sampling and calculate loading of PCBs entering and exiting the treatment facility for evaluation of HAC |
| | Characterize PCBs in waste streams, solids, products, and other sources |
| | Evaluate infiltration and inflow (I/I) to collection systems |
| | Administer industrial pretreatment programs by working with facilities to identify and reduce or eliminate sources of influent loading of PCBs |
| Mitigation or Reduction of Sources | Serve on ecology and other committees for addressing PCBs in commerce |
| | Implement measures to optimize operation and maintenance to reduce PCBs discharged in final effluent |
| | Reduce contributions of PCBs to the final effluent from raw materials, chemicals, and additives used at facility |

| Objective | Action |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Conduct periodic review of procurement policies to promote purchase of products that reduce new PCBs to the environment |
| | Evaluate and optimize the solids dewatering and storage processes |
| | Conduct periodic literature review to identify emerging treatment technologies |
| | Conduct bench scale/pilot studies on emerging PCB treatment technologies, as appropriate |
| | Submit a scope of work for conducting bench scale/pilot studies on PCB technologies, as identified in literature reviews |
| | Conduct periodic review of alternative actions and implement feasible actions to reduce PCB loading to the environment |
| Regional Coordination | Work collaboratively to implement the comprehensive plan and incorporate adaptive management to identify and reduce sources of PCBs through active participation in the Spokane River regional toxics task force (SRRTTF) |
| | Identify and collect additional information to assist ecology in preparing measurable progress towards achieving applicable water quality standards for PCBs and the effectiveness of the variance |
| | Work collaboratively through the SRRTTF to collect and analyze in-river water samples for PCBs using EPA Method 1668 (as revised), as needed, to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. Alternatively, each individual discharger will collect in-river samples within 300 feet downstream of their outfall to evaluate progress toward achieving the water quality standard. In-river PCB concentrations shall be submitted in an annual report by January 30 |
| | Investigate Technical, Legal and Policy Solutions through the federal Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) |
| | Hold workshops to address various PCB issues, such as analytical techniques, Spokane River ambient monitoring data, and TSCA reform |
| | Educate the Spokane community on PCBs in the Spokane River and reducing sources of PCBs |
| Reporting and Adaptive Management | Prepare and submit annual report that documents pollutant minimization efforts and progress |
| | Report influent/effluent PCB testing data for evaluation with HAC (using EPA Method 1668, as revised) |
| | Report results from additional testing of waste streams and raw materials |
| | Evaluate and update schedule of PMP actions |
| | Evaluate and update PMP based on effectiveness tracking |
| | Prepare and submit PMP implementation review prior to each mandatory interim review of the variance |

[]