

The following changes are required to comply with the SMA (RCW 90.58) and the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26, Part III):

ITEM	SMP Provision (Cite)	BILL FORMAT CHANGES (underline = additions; strikethrough = deletions)	RATIONALE	ALTERNATIVE SUGGESTED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT	FINAL ACCEPTED CHANGE
2	Section 3.1.B Table 3-1 Waterbodies in shoreline jurisdiction Pages 28-29	<p><b>Shoreline Rivers and Streams</b>  <del>Mailboat Slough</del>  <del>Seal Slough (T12N R10W S28)</del></p> <p><u>Cedar River, North Fork</u>  <u>Unnamed Tributary to North River</u>  <u>Smith Creek (3)</u>                      North Fork-Naselle River</p> <p>Moss Creek, Robinson Creek, Schlick Creek, Walker Creek</p>	<p>Changes are necessary for internal consistency and consistency with WAC 173-26-201 and WAC 173-27-044.</p> <p>Mailboat Slough and Seal Slough are not independent shoreline waterbodies as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(e) and should be deleted. Both are in shoreline jurisdiction because they are located within the OHWM of Willapa Bay.</p> <p>Cedar River, North Fork - shown on page 1 of the Shoreline Environment Designation (SED) map but not listed in the table</p> <p>Unnamed tributary to North River – Shown on page 1 of the SED map but not labeled and not listed in the table. This tributary has a 20 cfs point per the USGS study.</p> <p>Smith Creek – Labeled on page 1 of the SED map, the creek is located downstream of 20 cfs point on Elkhorn and Clearwater creeks.</p> <p>North Naselle River - Revision consistent with the naming on the map</p> <p>These four creeks are listed in the table but not shown on the SED maps. It's unclear if these are located downstream of a 20 cfs point or not. None are listed as having a 20 cfs point in either WAC 173-18 or in the 1998 USGS study: <i>Determination of Upstream Boundaries on Western Washington Streams and Rivers Under the Requirements of the Shoreline Management Act of 1971 (Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4208).</i></p>	<p>ACCEPTED, with the following modifications:</p> <p>Upon review of the Official Shoreline Maps and underlying data, the County confirmed that no third Smith Creek water body exists, but that the proposed "Smith Creek (3)" is the eastern continuation of "Smith Creek," a jurisdictional watercourse flowing into northeastern Willapa Bay. The upstream extent of shoreline jurisdiction has also been revised as follows:</p> <p>Smith Creek (T15N R87W S3017).</p> <p>Cedar River, North Fork has been added to the table with an upstream extent location as follows: <b>(T15N R11W S26)</b></p> <p>Upon review of the Official Shoreline Maps and underlying data, the County confirmed that the four creeks in question (Moss, Robinson, Schlick, and Walker) are located within shoreline jurisdiction, but are too small to qualify as shoreline watercourses. All four have been removed from the table and the maps. In the case of Moss, Robinson, and Schlick creeks, the entire creek and associated 200-foot buffer lie within the existing shoreline jurisdiction of a larger shoreline waterbody, and their removal has no impact on the County's overall shoreline jurisdiction. In the case of Walker Creek, removal of the creek and its 200-foot buffer removes a lobe of shoreline jurisdiction connected to the Willapa River with an area of approximately 26,000 square feet, or 0.6 acres.</p>	<p><i>The proposed language is consistent with the purpose and intent of Ecology's original required change. Therefore, pursuant to WAC 173-26-120(3)(b)(ii) the County's alternative language can be included as part of the final approved SMP. (See Attachment A Findings and Conclusions – Addendum)</i></p>

<p>3</p>	<p><b>Section 3.4 Official Shoreline Maps Page 42</b></p>	<p>A. The Official Shoreline Maps <del>at the time of SMP adoption</del>, which illustrate the delineation of shoreline jurisdiction and environment designations, <u>are part of the SMP.</u> Maps are available for review from the county as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System....</p>	<p>For consistency with WAC 173-26-191(2)(a) and WAC 173-26-211(2)(b). These changes clarify that the map is part of the shoreline master program.</p>	<p>ACCEPTED, modified as follows to reflect the location of the maps:  The Official Shoreline Maps <del>at the time of SMP adoption</del>, which illustrate the delineation of shoreline jurisdiction and environment designations, <b><u>are part of the SMP. Maps</u></b> are available for review <del>at the</del> <b><u>Pacific County Department of Community Development</u></b> as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System....</p>	<p><i>The proposed language is consistent with the purpose and intent of Ecology's original required change. Therefore, pursuant to WAC 173-26-120(3)(b)(ii) the County's alternative language can be included as part of the final approved SMP. (See Attachment A Findings and Conclusions – Addendum)</i></p>
----------	---	---	--	--	--