From:	Heuston, Daniel (ECY)
To:	Kaldor, Reed A
Cc:	Gent, Philip (ECY)
Subject:	FW: Draft AOP Renewal 3 Application
Date:	Tuesday, May 30, 2017 3:01:20 PM
Attachments:	draft AOP comments DH.pdf

Reed

Please see my attached comments regarding the draft Hanford Site AOP. If you have any questions please let us know.

Thank You

Daniel Heuston, PE

Environmental Engineer 3

Department of Ecology

Daniel.heuston@ecy.wa.gov <mailto:Daniel.heuston@ecy.wa.gov>

509-372-7895

From: Gent, Philip (ECY)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 2:42 PM
To: Heuston, Daniel (ECY) <DHEU461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: Bauder, Lilyann (ECY) <liba461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Subject: FW: Draft AOP Renewal 3 Application

Daniel,

Please give these a look over also.

Philip

From: Kaldor, Reed A [mailto:Reed_A_Kaldor@rl.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 3:26 PM
To: Gent, Philip (ECY) <pgen461@ECY.WA.GOV <mailto:pgen461@ECY.WA.GOV> >; Martell, P John (DOH) <John.Martell@DOH.WA.GOV <mailto:John.Martell@DOH.WA.GOV> >
Cc: Faust, Eric T <Eric.Faust@rl.doe.gov <mailto:Eric.Faust@rl.doe.gov> >; Bowser, Dennis W <Dennis_W_Bowser@orp.doe.gov <mailto:Dennis_W_Bowser@orp.doe.gov> >; Butler, Drusilla H <Drusilla_H_Butler@rl.gov <mailto:Drusilla_H_Butler@rl.gov> >
Subject: Draft AOP Renewal 3 Application

Phil and John –

Attached for your review and feedback, is a draft of the Hanford Site AOP Renewal 3 Application and Operating Summary. As your might recall, we discussed this review in our meeting on February 2, at which time you indicated you would be willing to provide an informal review of the draft AOP Renewal 3 application in the mid-May time frame. I believe your feedback on the draft application will be beneficial to help to ensure the application will meet your requirements when formally submitted. I believe we discussed that two weeks would be enough time for you to conduct a review. As such, I will really appreciate it if you can provide your feedback by May 22, 2017. Please let me know if this is not possible. Contact me if you have any questions. Thanks a bunch for your willingness to conduct this review.

Reed

372-1992