From: Kaldor, Reed A
To: Gent, Philip (ECY)
Cc: Faust, Eric T
Subject: RE: Asbestos
Date: Tuesday, September 5, 2017 8:58:25 AM

Phil –

I originally removed it when RL received Ecology letter 16-NWP-203. I then put it back in when RL received Ecology letter 17-NWP-063. Since you asked the question, I am realizing that it was not necessary to add it back in since the areas for which BCAA is defined as having authority are not part of the Hanford Site major source because these areas are not considered contiguous or adjacent to the Hanford Site as stated in the Standard Terms and Conditions of the AOP. Regulation 1, Article 8 should be removed from the list of applicable requirements and identified as inapplicable in the draft AOP Renewal 3. Let me know if you need any additional information. Thanks.

Reed

372-1992

From: Gent, Philip (ECY) [mailto:pgen461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Friday, September 01, 2017 11:32 AM
To: Kaldor, Reed A <Reed_A_Kaldor@rl.gov>
Cc: Faust, Eric T <Eric.Faust@rl.doe.gov>
Subject: Asbestos

Reed,

Why did you included asbestos with BCAA as applicable?

Philip

Table 2-2. Hanford Site Applicable Requirements. (2 pages) Requirement BCAA, Regulation 1, Article 5 “Outdoor Burning” BCAA, Regulation 1, Article 8 “Asbestos” WAC 173-400, “General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources” (except as identified in Table 2-3, Hanford Site Inapplicable Requirements)