The following changes are recommended, to clarify provisions of the SMP, and are consistent with the SMA (RCW 90.58) and the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26, Part III)

ITEM	SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	Ecology - Discussion/Rationale
1	Section 1.04 Page 2	Shoreline acreage	The cities of Aberdeen, Cosmopolis, and Hoquiam obtained grant number G1400451 from Ecology in 2013 to conduct a comprehensive SMP update. The cities worked collaboratively through the SMP update process. The first step in the update process involved an inventory of the cities' shoreline jurisdiction. Numerous rivers, streams, and lake and their associated wetlands, floodways, and floodplains comprise the cities' shoreline jurisdiction. Combined, there are 7,467 acres and 85 miles of shoreline associated with stream, lake, and marine waterbodies meeting the definition of shorelines of the state within the cities. There are 1303 300 acres and 7 miles of shoreline in Cosmopolis.	Based on the information in the Inventory and Characterization and the Cumulative Impacts Analysis the city has approximately 300 acres of shoreline.
2	Section 4.04.02 Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Page 24	Overlapping shoreline and critical area buffers	B. Shoreline Buffer Table 1. The required critical area buffers for WDFW Type S waters shall be considered shoreline buffers, as established by SMP Table 4-1: Shoreline Buffers. 2. The buffers for all other critical areas shall be established in accordance with the standards found in SMP Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations. If buffers for two contiguous critical areas overlap, such as buffers for a shoreline and a wetland, the wider buffer applies.	Edits are suggested to clarify implementation clarity when there are overlapping buffers.
3	Section 4.04.02 Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Page 24	Definition of non- water-oriented	B. Shoreline Buffer Table 7. Subcategories for types of uses or activities include the following terms: a. Water-dependent means a use that cannot exist in any other location and is dependent on the water due to the intrinsic nature of its operations, such as a port or sewer outfall. b. Water-related means a use that is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent upon a waterfront location, such as a fish processing plant or a sewer treatment plant. c. Water-enjoyment means a recreational use or other use that facilitates public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use. Examples include public trails, golf courses, parks, etc. d. Non-water-oriented means those uses that are not water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment, such as everything else: a grocery store, etc.	Revisions to 7(d) are recommended for consistency with the definition in WAC 173-26-020(27) and for internal consistency with the remainder of Regulation 7 which defines terms and then provides examples.
4	Section 4.04.02 Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation	Mitigation Plan	C. Standard Shoreline Buffer Width Reduction Options	Global change: Correction to the cited provision. 2.09(H) addresses mitigation ratios. 2.09(I) addresses mitigation plans.

ITEM SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
Page 27		b. A mitigation plan shall be prepared by the applicant as outlined in SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.09(H) (I) with shoreline functions substituted for wetland functions. The applicant will need to demonstrate	
5 Section 4.04.02 Pages 27 - 30		C. Standard Shoreline Buffer Width Reduction Options Correct formatting in sections (C)(2) and (C)(3)	There are formatting problems with Sections 4.04.02(C)(2) Common Line Provisions and 4.04.02(C)(3) Reduction for Road or Railroads in Buffer through the entire section. This problem may be contributing to the citation errors later in the section.
Section 4.04.02 Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Page 29		If the conditions in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(2)(a) are met, the applicant may prepare a mitigation plan as outlined in SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.03.05 with shoreline functions substituted for wetland functions and demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Shoreline Administrator that: a. A mitigation plan in accordance with SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.03.05 demonstrates that enhancing the shoreline buffer by removing invasive plants, planting native vegetation, installing habitat features, or other means will result in a shoreline buffer of a reduced width that functions at a higher level than the existing standard shoreline buffer; or	There is no 4.04.02(C)(2)(a) nor is there a Section 2.03.05. It's recommended these be corrected.
7 Shoreline Vegetation	ritical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation	 4. Shoreline Buffer Width Reduction a. The width of a standard shoreline buffer may be reduced up to 25 percent administratively if shoreline buffer averaging (SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(1)), common line provisions (SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(2)), or reduction for road or railroads in buffer (SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(3)) are infeasible. b. If the conditions in SMP Section 4.04.02(C)(4)(a) are met, the applicant may prepare a mitigation plan as outlined in SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.09(H) (1) with shoreline functions substituted for wetland functions and demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Shoreline Administrator that: 1) A mitigation plan in accordance with SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.09(H) (1) demonstrates that enhancing the shoreline buffer by removing invasive plants, planting native vegetation, installing habitat features, or other means will result in a shoreline buffer of a reduced width that functions at a higher level than the existing standard shoreline buffer; or 	Corrections to the cited provision. 2.09(H) addresses mitigation ratios. 2.09(I) addresses mitigation plans.
8 Section 4.04.02 Page 31	itical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation	1. Shoreline Buffers	Recommend the reference to the mitigation sequence in Appendix 2 be deleted. There is no need to point to two separate mitigation sequence provisions.

ITEM SMP PROVISION	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
		 Proposals for essential public facilities must demonstrate that alternative sites that meet facility requirements are not available. These uses must be designed and located to minimize intrusion into the shoreline buffer and shall be consistent with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03—and SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.09(A). Impacts to the shoreline buffer shall be fully mitigated. Water-oriented education, scientific research, and passive recreational uses. These uses may include, but are not limited to, fishing, bird watching, hiking, hunting, boating, horseback riding, skiing, swimming, canoeing, and bicycling. Such uses are allowed within shoreline buffers provided the use does not include construction except as follows: wildlife viewing structures and permeable trails or raised boardwalks may be allowed on a limited basis within riparian and wetland buffers in accordance with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03-SMP Appendix 2: Section 2.09(A). 	
Section 4.04.02 F Critical Areas and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Page 33		F. Revegetation 1. Surfaces that are cleared of vegetation in shoreline or critical area buffers, aside from normal maintenance described in SMP Section 4.04.02(E)(6), and are not developed must be replanted within one year. Replanted areas shall be planned planted and maintained such that within three years the vegetation cover is at least 90 percent reestablished	Typographical correction.
Section 4.05.02 Flood Hazard Management Page 36	Regulations	E. If new structural flood hazard management measures are required and no alternative exists, as documented in a geotechnical analysis, the structural measures shall be placed landward of any associated wetlands and shoreline buffer areas except for actions that increase ecological functions, such as wetland restoration or if it is determined that no other alternative to reduce flood hazard to existing development is feasible.	As written, the provision would be very restrictive. Revised language, consistent with WAC 173-26-221(3)(c)(iii) is recommended to ensure alternatives can be considered. Any structural flood hazard management measures will still need to be consistent with the SMP requirements for mitigation sequencing and no net loss of ecological function.
Section 5.02 General Shoreline Use Page 42	Introduction	These policies and regulations apply to all developments and uses within shoreline jurisdiction whether shoreline permits or written letters of exemptions are required or not.	Minor typographical corrections
Section 5.02.02 B General Shoreline Use Page 43	Bulk and dimensional requirements	B. Development shall comply with <u>the most restrictive</u> all-bulk and dimensional requirements found in the <u>CMC or the SMP-zoning and subdivision codes.</u>	Revision is recommended to avoid inadvertently incorporating these standards into the SMP. Alternatively, the provision could be deleted because it is redundant with Section 1.07.
Section 5.03 D Allowed shoreline Uses Page 44		D. Uses identified as "Conditional" require a shoreline conditional permit pursuant to SMP Section 7.04.02. Any use not listed in SMP Table 5-1: Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses shall require a shoreline conditional use permit.	Minor typographical correction

ITEM SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
Section 5.03 Allowed shoreline Uses Page 45	Table 5-1	Recreational Development (5) (6)	Typographical correction to the citation to the table Notes.
		D. As defined in SMP Section 5.10, Industrial and Port Development in the High Intensity shoreline environment designations may be increased without a shoreline variance provided	Provisions in D related to Industrial and Port Development in the High Intensity, which are allowed to be increased without a variance, appear to conflict with the notes of Table 5.2 which require a shoreline variance.
Section 5.04.02 Shoreline Height		Table 5-2 Notes:	Revision to Note (1) is recommended to improve internal consistency.
Standards Page 48		(1) Except as allowed in 5.04.02 (D), maximum Maximum shoreline height may be increased to 40 feet in the Multiple Use District (MU) and Waterfront Use District (WUD) zoning designations with approval of a shoreline variance.	
		(2) Maximum shoreline height may be increased to 45 feet in the Multiple Family Residential (R-M) zoning district with approval of a shoreline variance.	
		5.05 Agriculture	Section 5.06 points to 5.05 for regulation of upland finfish facilities.
Section 5.05	Upland finfish facilities		Per Note (4) to Table 5-1, upland finfish facilities are allowed with a conditional use permit. However, per the language in Section 5.05, new agricultural uses (which includes upland finfish facilities) are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction. Recommended edits resolve this internal inconsistency.
16 Agriculture Page 50		5.06 Aquaculture	
		Aquaculture is the culture or farming of fish, shellfish, or other aquatic plants and animals, excluding upland finfish facilities, which are regulated in SMP Section 5.05	
		II I-anarai kaniiramante	Correction to an error. The Department of Health has no authority over the introduction of species. This authority lies only with Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). See RCW 77.12.047, WAC 220-77 and
Section 5.06.02 C 17 Aquaculture Page 52	Regulations	3. New aquatic species that were not previously found or cultivated in the shoreline jurisdiction shall not be introduced into fresh waters without prior written approval of the WDFW and the Washington State Department of Health.	WAC 220-72 which set forth WDFW's authority and permit requirements.
Section 5.10.02 Industrial and Port Development Page 67	Regulations	B. The location, design, and construction of industrial and port development shall \underline{not} result in \underline{no} and \underline{not} loss of ecological functions or have significant negative impacts to shoreline use, resources, and navigation, recreation, and public access.	Typographical corrections to improve clarity and insure consistency in the regulation with Section 5.10.01, Policy B
Section 5.13.02 Recreational Development Page 70	Regulations	E. Wildlife viewing structures and permeable trails or raised boardwalks are allowed within riparian shoreline and wetland buffers in accordance with the mitigation sequence in SMP Section 4.03, the critical area regulations in SMP Section 4.04, and SMP Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations.	Edit ensures consistent terminology as the SMP uses "shoreline" buffers rather than riparian buffers.

ITEM SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
Section 5.14 Residential development Page 71	Introduction and policies	Residential development includes single-family residences and appurtenances, multifamily development, and appurtenant structures and uses, including garages, sheds, fences, necessary utilities, and driveways as well as the creation of new residential lots through land division. Single-family residences are a priority use when developed in a manner consistent with no net loss of environmental ecological functions. 5.14.01 Policies F. Consider single-family residences a priority use in planning for uses in the shoreline jurisdiction when developed with no net loss of environmental ecological functions.	Edits ensure consistency of terms throughout the SMP which uses "no net loss of ecological functions"
Section 5.16 Transportation Facilities Pages 74-75	Applicability		
Section 5.17 22 Utilities Pages 76-77	Applicability	The provisions of this section apply only to <u>public and private</u> facilities that	

Ітем	SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
			C. Water intake and water or fish conveyances between a waterbody and an aquaculture facility are not considered utilities under this section of the SMP. Consult SMP Section 5.06. 5.17.032 REGULATIONS	
23	Section 6.04.01 Dredging and dredge material disposal Page 85	Policies	D. Permit dredging as part of restoration or enhancement, public access, flood storage as part of a flood hazard management program, or navigation if deemed consistent with the SMP. E. Prohibit dredging waterward of the OHWM to obtain fill except when the dredge material is necessary for the restoration of shoreline ecological functions or as part of a flood hazard management program.	Revisions ensure consistency with WAC 173-26-231(3)(f) and WAC 173-26-221(3).
24	Section 6.04.02 Dredging and dredge material disposal Page 86	Regulations	A. Dredging 4. Dredging shall be prohibited for the primary purpose of obtaining fill material, except when permitted under SMP Section 4.05 or when necessary for the restoration of shoreline ecological functions. In the latter case and consistent with the following: a. Dredge material must be placed waterward of the OHWM. b. The project must be associated with either a MTCA or CERCLA habitat restoration project or, if the project is approved through a shoreline conditional use permit, the project may be another significant habitat enhancement project.	Dredging for flood hazard reduction (Section 4.05) is addressed in Regulation 2.g. Edits improve consistency with Dredging and Dredge Disposal provisions found in WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).
25	Section 6.07.01 Shoreline stabilization Pages 93-94	Policies	P. If state-owned aquatic lands are beyond the OHWM, consultation with WDNR will be required.	Recommended language is added in response to Department of Natural Resources comment.
26	Section 6.07.02 Shoreline stabilization Page 94	Regulations	 B. Repair and Maintenance of Existing Shoreline Stabilization Structures The following items distinguish between maintenance and repair of a shoreline stabilization structure and a new structure: Maintenance and repair includes modifications to an existing shoreline stabilization structure that is designed to ensure the continued function of the existing structure. A modification that increases the size of the existing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure, not maintenance or repair. Replacement of greater than 50 percent or 35 feet of the linear length of an existing shoreline stabilization structure, whichever is smaller, as measured on a cumulative basis since the structure was established, is not considered repair or maintenance, and is considered a new structure. 	Recommended edit. This limit makes sense on densely developed shorelines with numerous small lots but seems overly restrictive in other areas.
27	Section 6.07.02 Shoreline stabilization	Regulations	E. General Design Standards 2.f. Fill behind shoreline stabilization structures is limited to one cubic yard	Edits improve clarity and delete unnecessary language. All shoreline activities are regulated. The intent of this regulation is to ensure fill that exceeds the standard in the first sentence is also reviewed against the provisions of the SMP Section on fill.

ITEM SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
Page 97		considered a regulated activity subject to the regulations in SMP Section 6.03 and require a shoreline substantial development permit or shoreline conditional use permit.	
Section 7.02.02 Provisions Applicable to All Shoreline Permits Page 101		uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to local development codes and standards, Chapter 90.58 RCW, the SMA, and this SMP whether or not a permit is required.	It's recommended the city ensure the SMP incorporates recent legislative changes. New exemptions to the definition of "substantial development" added to RCW 90.58.030 will be captured in WAC 173-27-040 during Ecology's rule revisions slated in 2017. Because the SMP directly references this portion of the rule in 7.04.04 B, no revisions are needed. However, the provisions in RCW 90.58.355 which list those things that require no local review or approval under the Shoreline Management Act, are not addressed anywhere in the SMP. Adding a reference to this section is suggested.
Section 7.05.03 29 Notice of Decision Page 107	Filing permits	The Shoreline Administrator shall notify the following persons in writing of the Shorelines Hearings Board's final approval, conditional approval, or	The SMP lacks administrative procedures for filing permits with Ecology and the Attorney General's Office as required in WAC 173-26-191(2)(a)(iii)(C). Unless addressed in city code elsewhere, revisions ensure the Shoreline Administrator is informed of the requirements for filing a shoreline permit with Ecology.
Appendix 2: Critical Areas Regulations Section 2.09 Page 28	Mitigation sequence	A. Mitigation Sequencing. Before impacting any wetland or its buffer, an applicant shall demonstrate that the actions listed in SMP Appendix 2: Section 1.11(B) have been taken.	The edit helps resolve the inconsistency between the requirement in Section 2.09(A) to look to Section 1.11 and the language in Section 1.11(A) which says wetlands are only subject to Section 2.09.
Appendix 2: Critical Areas 31 Regulations Section 4.02 Page 39	Frequently Flooded Areas		Because of the recent adoption of new maps and an updated flood hazard ordinance, these edits are recommended.
Appendix 2: Critical Areas 32 Regulations Section 4.03 Page 40	Frequently Flooded Areas		It's recommended the most recent ordinance be reflected here.

İTEM	SMP Provision	Торіс	BILL FORMAT CHANGES [underline-additions; strikethrough-deletions]	ECOLOGY - DISCUSSION/RATIONALE
			management provisions of SMP Section 4.05 are hereby incorporated by reference.	