## Technical Support Document NOC Approval Order No. 21AQ-C255 Third Revision Microsoft Corporation - East Wenatchee Data Center Campus AQPID No. B0170071 East Wenatchee, WA

Prepared by: Ryan Vicente, PE

## 1. Project Summary

Microsoft Corporation (the source) is a data center facility classified as a 'Synthetic Minor 80 Percent Source' for NOX emissions and a 'Synthetic Minor Source' for CO emissions. The source operates multiple existing emissions units, and it's in the process of installing previously-approved emission units.

This reviews the expansion of the source, that is construction of additional data center buildings referred to as EAT06 and EAT09. The project includes the installation and operation of 22 additional diesel-fired emergency engines to power electrical generators at the data center.

The Notice of Construction (NOC) application forms for the East Wenatchee Data Center Campus expansion were submitted by the source on October 24, 2023. Since only the forms and application fees were submitted, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) immediately determined the initial application to be incomplete per WAC 173-400-111. Additional NOC application materials were received by Ecology on January 19, 2024, June 14, 2024, and June 26, 2024.

## 2. Application Processing

## a. Public Notice

This project is subject to a mandatory 30-day public comment period per: WAC 173-400-171(3)(b) for increases in emissions of toxic air pollutants above acceptable source impact levels; and WAC 173-400-171(3)(k) for an order issued under WAC 173-400-091 that establishes limitations on a source's potential to emit. The comment period is scheduled for <start date> through <end date>. If comments are received during the public comment period, response will be contained in a separate document.

## b. State Environmental Policy Act

Ecology's existing Determination of Nonsignificance, issued on August 18, 2021, stands for the revised project. This determination was made by Ecology's Fran Sant, during a call between her and I, on December 19, 2022.

#### 3. Applicable Regulations

- a. State Regulations
  - i. Minor New Source Review Applicability

Per WAC 173-400-110, an NOC application and an order of approval must be issued by the permitting authority prior to the establishment of a new source or modification.

As stated in the NOC application and consistent with Ecology's review, the new gensets are being constructed this project and therefore are subject to minor new source review (NSR).

A. Exempt Equipment

This project did not include any exempt equipment with air emissions.

B. Potential to Emit (Potential Emissions)

The potential emissions from the project are greater than the exemption levels listed under WAC 173-400-110(5) as shown below in Tables 1 and 2 (in bold).

# Table 1. Potential emissions for pollutants listed under WAC 173-400-110(5),versus the NSR Exemption Levels

Pollutant	Potential Emissions (tons/year)	Minor NSR Exemption (tons/year)
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	38	5.0
Lead (Pb)	0.0088	0.005
Nitrogen Oxides (NOX)	350	2.0
PM10	5.8	0.75
PM2.5	5.8	0.5
Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)	5.8	1.25
Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)	0.23	2.0
Volatile Organic Compounds, total (VOC)	4.2	2.0

Pollutant	Potential Emissions	De Minimis	Averaging
	(pounds/AP)	(pounds/AP)	Period (AP)
1,3-Butadiene	4.6E+02	2.7E-01	year
Acetaldehyde	1.7E+03	3.0E+00	year
Acrolein	3.5E+00	1.3E-03	24-hr
Ammonia	1.3E+02	1.9E+00	24-hr
Arsenic & inorganic arsenic	3.4E+00	2.5E-03	year
compounds			
Benz[a]anthracene	2.3E+00	4.5E-02	year
Benzene	4.0E+02	1.0E+00	year
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.9E+00	8.2E-03	year
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3.7E+00	4.5E-02	year
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	3.7E+00	4.5E-02	year
Cadmium & compounds	3.2E+00	1.9E-03	year
Carbon monoxide (CO)	1.5E+02	1.1E+00	1-hr
Chlorobenzene	2.0E-02	3.7E+00	24-hr
Chromium(III), soluble particulates	5.1E-02	3.7E-04	24-hr
Chromium(VI) & compounds	2.1E-01	3.3E-05	year
Chrysene	2.1E+00	4.5E-01	year
Copper & compounds	1.7E-02	9.3E-03	1-hr
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	2.0E+00	4.1E-03	year
Diesel engine exhaust, particulate	3.9E+03	2.7E-02	year
(DEEP)			
Ethyl benzene	2.3E+01	3.2E+00	year
Formaldehyde	3.7E+03	1.4E+00	year
Hydrogen chloride	1.9E+01	3.3E-02	24-hr
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	2.0E+00	4.5E-02	year
Lead & compounds	1.8E+01	1.0E+01	year
Manganese & compounds	3.2E-01	1.1E-03	24-hr
Mercury, elemental	2.0E-01	1.1E-04	24-hr
Naphthalene	7.6E+01	2.4E-01	year
n-Hexane	2.7E+00	2.6E+00	24-hr
Nickel & compounds	8.3E+00	3.1E-02	year
Nitrogen dioxide (NO2)	1.4E+02	4.6E-01	1-hr
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	3.9E+00	8.2E-03	year
Propylene	4.8E+01	1.1E+01	24-hr
Selenium & selenium compounds	2.2E-01	7.4E-02	24-hr
Sulfur dioxide (SO2)	9.1E-01	4.6E-01	1-hr
Toluene	1.1E+01	1.9E+01	24-hr
Xylene (mixture)	4.3E+00	8.2E-01	24-hr

## Table 2. Potential TAP emissions, versus the de minimis emission values

ii. Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)

PSD would apply to the project based on uncontrolled, 500 hour-per-year potential emissions. However, the allowable emissions prior to and after this project are well below the major thresholds for PSD applicability. The source did not submit a PSD Applicability Determination application; therefore, Ecology's PSD program did not issue a PSD Applicability Determination for this project.

iii. Other Applicable Requirements

In accordance with WAC 173-400-113, the proposed new source must comply with all applicable emission standards adopted under Chapter 70A.15 RCW. The following applicable emission standards are associated with the proposed project:

A. General Standards for Maximum Emissions

WAC 173-400-040(2) generally limits visible emissions from all sources to no more than three minutes of 20 percent opacity, in any one hour, of an air contaminant from any emissions unit. This standard applies to each of the proposed gensets. The standard is specified as an emission limit under Approval Condition 2.c.iv.B.

B. Emission Standards for Combustion and Incineration Units

WAC 173-400-050(1) limits emissions of particulate matter from combustion units to 0.23 gram per dry cubic meter at standard conditions (0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot) of exhaust gas. This standard applies to each of the proposed gensets. The standard is specified as an emission limit under Approval Condition 2.c.v.B.

C. Standards of Performance for New Sources

WAC 173-400-115(1)(a) adopts by reference 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart IIII as the regulation existed on 8/24/22. Subpart IIII was since revised on 1/24/23. However, the revisions were specific to: engines used in Alaska and marine offshore installations; and confidential information provisions for engine manufacturers. Therefore, requirements of the state-adopted version are equivalent to the current federal version. Subpart IIII applies to the proposed genset (discussed below).

b. Federal Regulations

In accordance with WAC 173-400-113, the proposed new source must comply with all applicable new source performance standards (NSPS) included in 40 C.F.R. Part 60, national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) included in 40 C.F.R.

Part 61, and NESHAPs for source categories included in 40 C.F.R. Part 63. The following applicable emission standards are associated with the proposed project:

i. Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources

The ICE NSPS (40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart IIII) applies to each genset engine. The regulation specifies:

- Criteria for classification as emergency engines.
- Tier-2 emission standards for the engines.
- Fuel, monitoring, compliance, and notification requirements for the Permittee.

The Tier-2 emission standards specified by the regulation were the basis of the emission limits listed under Approval Condition 2.c.ii.

ii. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories

The RICE NESHAP (40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ) applies to each genset engine. However, each engine is also subject to the ICE NSPS (see above). At 40 C.F.R. 63.6590(c), the NESHAP specifies that compliance shall be met by meeting the requirements of the NSPS; therefore, no further requirements apply to the engines.

#### 4. Emissions

- a. Emission Factors
  - i. Criteria Air Pollutants (Except Lead) and VOC

Emission-unit specific emission factors for CO, NOX, PM, and unburned hydrocarbons were provided by the manufacturer. The manufacturer data was treated in the following manner:

- Unburned hydrocarbons were assumed to be equivalent to VOC and NMHC.
- DEEP was taken to be the manufacturer-measured PM.
- The sum of PM and hydrocarbon emissions (assuming all condense) was used for comparison to the exemption levels for PM2.5, PM10, and total suspended particulates.

The emission factor for SO2 was calculated by the consultant, based on the sulfur content of ultra-low-sulfur diesel and an average heating value of diesel fuel, assuming complete conversion of sulfur to SO2.

ii. Lead, non-Criteria Toxic Air Pollutants, and Hazardous Air Pollutants

Fuel-usage based emission factors were employed for lead, toxic air pollutants (other than CO, NOX, and SO2), and hazardous air pollutants. For each pollutant, the highest emission factor from the following sources was utilized:

- EPA's AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, 5th Edition, Volume 1, Chapter 3.4 - Large Stationary Diesel and All Stationary Dual-fuel Engines, October 1996 (> 600 hp).
- VCAPCD's AB 2588 Combustion Emission Factors, for diesel internal combustion, dated May 17, 2001. Bulk 'PAH's (including naphthalene)' was reduced for the stated naphthalene value, with the remainder treated as having 5 percent of the risk of benzo(a)pyrene. Total chromium was reduced for the stated hexavalent chromium value, with the remainder treated as soluble chromium(III) particles.
- California Air Toxics Emission Factor (CATEF) database, for ICE-diesel, accessed December 28, 2022. Duplicated entries were deleted from dataset; pollutants with multiple data points were averaged.
- b. Best Available Control Technology | Best Available Control Technology for Toxics

Per the definition of best available control technology (BACT), application of BACT shall not "result in emissions of any pollutants which will exceed the emissions allowed by any applicable standard under 40 C.F.R. Part 60 and Part 61". As such, the starting point for the BACT analysis was the Tier-2 emission standards for nonroad engines with rated power exceeding 560 kW.

Ecology's experience with this sector supported a presumptive BACT determination for the project. Specifically, emissions of Tier-2 certified engines meet: BACT for CO, lead, NOX, particulate, and VOC; and tBACT for the TAPs that triggered review.

c. Additional Voluntary Emission Controls

The source proposed voluntary installation of catalyzed diesel particulate filter (cDPF) and urea-based selective catalytic reduction (SCR) controls for each of the engines. Vendor-provided control efficiency information was applied to the engine manufacturer emission factors.

The SCR portion of the controls are for NO2 emissions. Due to the potential of not reaching the minimum-required SCR catalyst temperature, no control efficiency was applied to emissions at 10 percent engine load. For modeling of NO2 impacts, a 20-minute warm up period was assumed 25-100 percent engine loads. For calculating allowable emissions, a less-conservative (but still within vendor parameters) 15-minute warm up period was assumed for 50-100 percent engine loads. The cDPF portion of the controls will remove particulate (including metals) and VOC with an 85 percent and 50

percent removal efficiency, respectively. Thus, the controls reduced the modeled impacts of the project to demonstrate compliance with ambient standards.

d. Allowable Emissions

The allowable emissions from the project, considering all emission and operational limits contained in the approval order, are shown in the table below.

Pollutant	New Units (tons/year)
СО	1.3
Pb	0.00020
NOX	17
PM10	0.36
PM2.5	0.36
TSP	0.36
SO2	0.032
VOC	0.33

Table 3. Allowable emissions for pollutants listed under WAC 173-400-110(5)

#### 5. Ambient Air Quality Standards

As specified in WAC 173-400-113, the proposed new or modified source(s) must not cause or contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard. This includes the ambient air quality standards for both criteria and toxic air pollutants.

a. Pollutants Listed Under WAC 173-400-110 (Except TAPs)

VOC is not a criteria air pollutant; therefore, dispersion modeling of VOC emissions was not conducted for this project. While, under the right conditions, VOC is a precursor for ozone and secondary PM2.5 (both are criteria pollutants), this source's VOC emissions are not expected to contribute to National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) or Washington Ambient Air Quality Standards (WAAQS) exceedances.

Since the allowable emissions of CO, NOX, and particulate matter for the project, as limited by the NOC Approval Order, are below each of the Table 110(5) exemption levels listed under WAC 173-400-110, modeling was not required for these pollutants. This treatment is in keeping with prior practice within this section, where it is assumed that the exemption levels were based on concentrations which would not cause or contribute to violations of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) or Washington Ambient Air Quality Standards (WAAQS).

To satisfy the requirements of WAC 173-400-113(3), modeling was needed for emissions of NO2. The modeling demonstrates that the emissions increase as a result of the

project will not exceed the NAAQS or WAAQS. The modeling results are included in the table below.

Criteria Pollutant	Averaging Period	Modeled Concentration	NAAQS
NO2	1-hr	112	188
NO2	year	33	100

#### **Table 4. Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results**

#### b. Toxic Air Pollutants

In accordance with WAC 173-460-040, new TAP sources must meet the requirements of Chapter 173-460 WAC, unless they are exempt by WAC 173-400-110(5).

As shown in Table 2, minor NSR is required for the project. As such, the new emission units must comply with WAC 173-460-070 (ambient impact requirement). The facility may demonstrate compliance with the ambient impact requirement by either showing that the emissions increase is less than the small quantity emissions rates (SQER) or through dispersion modeling. The table below includes the estimated emissions increases associated with the project and the applicable SQER; emissions above the SQER are in bold.

Toxic Air Pollutant	Allowable Emissions	SQER	Averaging Period
1,3-Butadiene	3.4E+01	5.4E+00	year
Acetaldehyde	1.2E+02	6.0E+01	year
Acrolein	6.5E-01	2.6E-02	24-hr
Ammonia	4.8E+01	3.7E+01	24-hr
Arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds	7.5E-02	4.9E-02	year
Benz[a]anthracene	1.7E-01	8.9E-01	year
Benzene	2.9E+01	2.1E+01	year
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.4E-01	1.6E-01	year
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2.8E-01	8.9E-01	year
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2.7E-01	8.9E-01	year
Cadmium and compounds	7.1E-02	3.9E-02	year
СО	3.7E+01	4.3E+01	1-hr
Chlorobenzene	3.8E-03	7.4E+01	24-hr
Chromium(III), soluble particulates	3.5E-03	7.4E-03	24-hr
Chromium(VI) and compounds	4.7E-03	6.5E-04	year
Chrysene	1.5E-01	8.9E+00	year
Copper and compounds	2.8E-03	1.9E-01	1-hr
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	1.5E-01	8.2E-02	year

## Microsoft Corporation – East Wenatchee Data Center Campus DRAFT Approval Order 21AQ-C255 Third Revision Technical Support Document

Toxic Air Pollutant	Allowable Emissions	SQER	Averaging Period
DEEP	9.0E+01	5.4E-01	year
Ethyl benzene	1.7E+00	6.5E+01	year
Formaldehyde	2.7E+02	2.7E+01	year
Hydrogen chloride	3.6E+00	6.7E-01	24-hr
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1.4E-01	8.9E-01	year
Lead and compounds	3.9E-01	1.4E+01	year
Manganese and compounds	1.8E-02	2.2E-02	24-hr
Mercury, elemental	1.2E-02	2.2E-03	24-hr
Naphthalene	5.6E+00	4.8E+00	year
n-Hexane	5.2E-01	5.2E+01	24-hr
Nickel and compounds	1.8E-01	6.2E-01	year
NO2	4.5E+01	8.7E-01	1-hr
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	1.4E-01	1.6E-01	year
Propylene	9.0E+00	2.2E+02	24-hr
Selenium and selenium compounds	1.3E-02	1.5E+00	24-hr
SO2	9.0E-01	1.2E+00	1-hr
Toluene	2.0E+00	3.7E+02	24-hr
Xylene (mixture)	8.2E-01	1.6E+01	24-hr

For the TAPs that exceeded the SQER, modeling was performed to satisfy the requirements of Washington's state toxics rule in Chapter 173-460 WAC. Modeling was also performed for a few of the pollutants that didn't exceed the SQER. The modeling demonstrates that the emission increases as a result of the project will not exceed the acceptable source impact level (ASIL) screening thresholds, with the exception of DEEP and NO2. The modeling results are included in the table below.

Table 6	. TAP	Modeling	Results	(µg/m3)
---------	-------	----------	---------	---------

Toxic Air Pollutant	Maximum Modeled Concentration	ASIL	Averaging Period
1,3-Butadiene	4.5E-03	3.3E-02	year
Acetaldehyde	1.6E-02	3.7E-01	year
Acrolein	4.2E-02	3.5E-01	24-hr
Ammonia	3.1E+00	5.0E+02	24-hr
Arsenic & inorganic arsenic	9.9E-06	3.0E-04	year
compounds			
Benzene	3.9E-03	1.3E-01	year
Cadmium and compounds	9.3E-06	2.4E-04	year
Chromium(VI) and compounds	6.2E-07	4.0E-06	year

Toxic Air Pollutant	Maximum Modeled Concentration	ASIL	Averaging Period
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	1.9E-05	5.0E-04	year
DEEP	1.2E-02	3.3E-03	year
Formaldehyde	3.6E-02	1.7E-01	year
Hydrogen chloride	2.3E-01	9.0E+00	24-hr
Mercury, elemental	7.4E-04	3.0E-02	24-hr
Naphthalene	7.4E-04	2.9E-02	year
NO2	7.6E+02	4.7E+02	1-hr

A Second Tier Health Impact Assessment (HIA) was conducted for DEEP and NO2 and submitted separately from the NOC application, per WAC 173-460-090. Ecology reviewed the assessment and recommended approval of the project because. Ecology's analysis and recommendations are included in the document titled, "Second Tier Review Recommendation for: Microsoft Corporation East Wenatchee Data Center Phases EAT 06 and 09 Douglas County, Washington", June 2024.

## 6. Appendix A – Federal Rule Applicability

1. 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart IIII

Example: The ICE NSPS (40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart IIII) applies to each engine. The applicable portions the rule appear to be:

Citation	Subject	Notes
60.4202(a)(2)	Manufacturer emission standards	Specifies that 2008 model year and later emergency stationary CI ICE with a maximum engine power ≥ 37 kWm
		and $\leq$ 2,237 kWm be certified to the
		1039. Appendix I (Tier 2 emission
		standards) for all pollutants and the
		smoke standards specified in 40 C.F.R. 1039.105.
60.4202(b)(2)	Manufacturer emission standards	Specifies that 2011 model year and
		a maximum engine nower > 2 237
		kWm and a displacement of $< 10$ liters
		per cylinder be certified to the
		standards specified in 40 C.F.R. Part
		1039, Appendix I (Tier 2 emission
		standards) for all pollutants and the
		smoke standards specified in 40 C.F.R.
CO 4205(b)	Our or Operator emission	1039.105.
60.4205(b)	standards	model year and later emergency
	standards	stationary CLICE to comply with the
		emission standards for new nonroad Cl
		engines in §60.4202.
60.4209(a)	Owner/Operator monitoring	Directs owners and operators of
	requirements	stationary CI that don't meet the
		standards applicable to non-
		emergency engines to install a non-
		of each anging
Table 8 to	Applicability of General Provisions	The table lists what portions of 40
Subpart IIII of	to Subpart III	C.F.R. 60 Subpart Lare applicable.
Part 60		including notification and
		recordkeeping requirements.

Microsoft Corporation – East Wenatchee Data Center Campus DRAFT Approval Order 21AQ-C255 Third Revision Technical Support Document

Note: While the engines associated with the EAT06 and EAT09 buildings are equipped with catalyzed diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction, the controls are not required for compliance with the emission standards in 40 C.F.R. 60.4204.